

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,185	02/20/2002	Stanley T. Crooke	ISIS-5030	7585
34138	7590 10/06/2004		EXAMINER	
	CONNOR, P.C.		MCGARRY, SEAN	
1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508		ART UNIT PAPER N 1635		PAPER NUMBER
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
Office Action Summary		10/079,185	CROOKE, STANLEY T.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sean R McGarry	1635			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-95 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-95</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🔲 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	d in this National Stage			
* * S	application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ' '	d			
	and analysis detailed emiss detail for a list	or the defined dopies not receive	u.			
		•				
Attachment	i(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/079,185

Art Unit: 1635

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-87, drawn to methods of modification of a target RNA via RNA-like compounds and RNAse III domain containing polypeptides, classifiable in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 88-92, drawn to a cell having enhanced RNase III activity, classifiable in class 435, subclass 325.
- III. Claims 94 and 95, drawn to a hybrid RNase III, classified in class 530, subclass 350.

Group I is further restricted as follows: Applicant is required to elect the method of Group I via the use of single stranded polynucleotide or double stranded polynucleotide (see claims 59, 60, 72, and 73, for example). The compounds, double stranded vs single stranded, function by different biological mechanisms (antisense inhibition vs RNA interference via dsnucleic acid elicited enzymes, e. g.) and require different steps when used in a method, for example.

Claims 1, 15, 33, 55, 67, and 93 link the above identified inventions. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or

Application/Control Number: 10/079,185

Art Unit: 1635

otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of group I could be accomplished in a cell via the use of a an antisense oligonucleotide that recruits RNase H, for example.

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of

Application/Control Number: 10/079,185

Art Unit: 1635

using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method of Group I could be performed in a cell without enhanced RNase III activity or the modification of the target could be performed with an antisense which recruits RNase H, for example.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are two different compounds/compositions that have different biological activities. For example one might perform a method of modifying a target RMNA in the cell of Group II where there is no requirement for a hybrid RNase III, and furthermore it is not necessary that a hybrid RNAse III has enhanced activity, for example.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1635

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean R McGarry whose telephone number is (571) 272-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John LeGuyader can be reached on (571) 272-0760. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sean R McGarry Primary Examiner Art Unit 1635